

UNITED STATES DEP

TMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/148,723

EXAMINER

09/03/98

FARNWORTH

MI22-981

021567

APPLICATION NO.

QM12/1209

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

WELLS ST JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY AND MATKIN

ART UNIT TUEBANAPER NUMBER

SUITE 1300

601 W FIRST AVENUE

SPOKANE WA 99201-3828

FILING DATE

DATE MAILED: 3729

12/09/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/148,723 Applicant(=)

Farnworth et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

A. Dexter Tugbang

Group Art Unit 3729

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 26, 1999	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pr in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be 37 CFR 1.136(a).	he period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14-19, 21, 25, 28, 32-35, and 38-41	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-3, 6, 8, 11-13, 20, 22-24, 26, 27, 29-31, 36, and 37	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are subject to	
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exami	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐appro	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docum	ents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The applicants' Corrected Filing Receipt to change the filing date of the application has been considered and entered.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I, Species F, in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 42-44, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14-19, 21, 25, 32-35, 38, 28 and 39-41 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention of Group II and Group I, Species A, B, C, D, E and G. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6. It is noted that Claim 41 has been Grouped with Species C (independent Claim 39) which has been drawn to the non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/148,723

Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by the publication to Kasulke et al, "Solder Ball Bumper (SSB) A Flexible Equipment for FC, CSP, BGA, and Printed Circuit Boards". It is noted that the applicants' have not provided the publication date to Kasulke et al and if the publication date to Kasulke et al is found to be more than one year prior to the applicants' filing date, Claims 1 and 2 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 11, 12, 13, 27, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Desai et al 5,479,703.

Desai discloses a method of bonding solder balls to bond pads including: placing a plurality of solder balls 25 (in fig. 9) withing a frame (ball dispenser 100) having holes in registered alignment with individual bond pads (conductive material 23) over a substrate (dielectric 36); and exposing the solder balls to a reflowing process by the use of a vapor phase machine (discussed at col. 10, lines 1-30) to effect bonding of the solder balls, which all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 22, Desai further teaches moving the frame by vibration to position the balls within the holes and effectuate bonding (discussed at col. 9, lines 50-53).

Application/Control Number: 09/148,723 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2, 6, 8, 20, 22-24, 26, 30, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desai et al in view of Kasulke et al.

Desai teaches a method of bonding solder balls as previously discussed. Desai does not teach bonding the solder balls by laser-bonding with a laser beam.

The publication to Kasulke teaches a laser-bonding apparatus with a laser beam (shown in Figures 2 and 3) to reflow the solder ball and effect bonding (discussed at page 2) and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the laser-bonding apparatus of Kasulke, for the vapor phase machine of Desai, to perform such reflowing of the solder balls and effect bonding.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/148,723

Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is (703) 308-7599.

LEEYOUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

ADT

December 6, 1999